Research Description

During 2009, 2010, and 2011, researchers of DOE’s Building America Partnership for Improved Residential Construction (BA-PIRC) provided analysis and recommendations to eight affordable housing entities conducting comprehensive renovations in 70 distressed, foreclosed homes in central Florida (Phase 1). Partners achieved a mutually agreed upon goal of 30% improvement in HERS Index score 46 renovations.

The study found that moderately more efficient replacement components and additional efficiency enhancements can be combined to cost-effectively achieve projected annual energy savings of 15-30% and higher in typical existing homes in central Florida. Improvement levels correlated more closely with pre-retrofit HERS Index scores (see graph), which reflect home improvements and replacements, than vintage.

At the end of Phase 1, researchers identified the most prevalent strategies in the deep retrofits and compiled a set of best practices appropriate to the current labor pool and market conditions in central Florida. They apply variably to homes depending on existing conditions simplifying decision making for retrofit program managers and staff.
CITY OF MELBOURNE
PACKAGE OF IMPROVEMENTS

Systems and Equipment:
- Runtime mechanical ventilation
- 15 SEER; 8.5 HSPF, 2.5 ton ENERGY STAR heat pump
- Interior air handler closets, sealed central return plenums, passive return air pathways, duct sealing average 4 cfm per 100 ft² of conditioned space (Qₚ,n,out = 0.04)
- ENERGY STAR refrigerator
- Fluorescent lighting (combination of fixture and bulb replacement)

Envelope:
- R-38 ceiling insulation
- ENERGY STAR Double pane, low-E vinyl frame windows (U = 0.23; SHGC = 0.20)
- Whole house air tightness: ACH50 = 7

Partnering Organizations:
- Brevard County Housing and Human Services Department
- City of Ft Myers
- City of Melbourne
- City of Palm Bay Housing and Neighborhood Development Services
- Sarasota Office of Housing and Community Development and non-profit affordable housing partners
- Volusia County Community Assistance

For more Information, refer to final reports for Phase 1 and 2 research: http://apps1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/publications/pdfs/building_america/energy_retrofit_study_hothumid.pdf%20

In Phase 2, the best practices were refined based on feedback from the City of Melbourne and the City of Ft Myers who incorporated the best practices into master specifications for community-scale renovation programs. The Melbourne program included ten homes in 2012. Typical specifications drawn from the best practices (side bar) result in 25% projected annual energy cost savings compared to minimum efficiency retrofit options when applied to an average distressed homes (HERS Index ~130).

Lessons Learned
Phase 1 and 2 final reports include lessons in program development, market gaps and barriers, and technical implementation. Example lessons include:

- The best practices provide recommendations for varying energy-related conditions. Deep retrofits are most likely when slightly higher performance specifications are selected for replacements at time of wear-out and combined with low to moderate cost non-replacement efficiency enhancements such as ceiling insulation.
- Duct systems were sometimes leakier after renovation. Testing will provide verification that specifications are met. Providing a list of likely leakage points can save time and extra site visits. For challenging details, such as eliminating building cavities “ducts”, provide detailed guidance.
- Develop clear, standardized boiler plate language for each master specification to improve implementation consistency of bid documents and communicate expectations to contractors, sub-contractors, and other program stakeholders.
- Program developers and contractors often have conflicting understanding of code requirements. Rather than referencing code sections, include the relevant specifications in the bids. Codes do not necessarily require the same things in existing homes as they do in new construction.
- Involve staff, code officials, and key contractors in program development to identify and resolve road blocks before they occur in the field
- Prototype key program elements that require changes in typical construction procedures before requiring them to gain first-hand experience and develop examples of successful implementation.
- Include quality assurance protocols that address performance metrics (e.g. whole house air tightness) that fall outside customary contractor responsibilities.